

## Passengers' Satisfaction from the Onboard Service Quality of Saudi Airlines – An Empirical Study

**Dr. Zaid Ahmad Ansari**

Assistant Professor in Marketing  
Head, Department of Marketing, College of Business  
University of Jeddah, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

### Abstract

**Purpose:** The study investigated the satisfaction of passengers from the onboard service quality of Saudia, Saudi Arabian airlines. The study also aimed at suggesting the Saudi Airlines to prepare for the competition after liberalization of the Saudi Aviation Industry.

**Methodology:** This is quantitative study based on primary data collected from the passengers of Saudi Airlines. A close-ended structured questionnaire had been distributed randomly. The questionnaire was developed in English and translated into Arabic for high response among the passengers who ever travelled by Saudi Airlines. The researcher used Convenience sampling technique to identify the respondents who came from across the country for counseling classes at college of business and writing their final exams. The study sample size was 400 respondents.

**Findings:** The passenger's satisfaction from the onboard services was low.

**Key Words:** Saudi Airlines, Service Quality, Saudi Arabia, Satisfaction

### INTRODUCTION

The aviation industry in Saudi Arabia is under reforms. The market currently served by the government owned Saudi Airlines should open for competition from international airlines and indigenous companies. The Saudi government is working hard in developing the industry through infrastructure development, privatization and market liberalization. Presently, the national carrier Saudi Airlines (now renamed as Saudia) and low cost carrier Nas Air serve market. After liberalization of the industry, the market will open to foreign airlines promoting significant growth. In preparation, Saudia planned to expand its fleet to 125, which will include 50 Airbus 320s, 321s, and 330s, Boeing 777s and Boeing 787s by 2015. Besides this, the government planned to upgrade existing Airports and Build 34 new Domestic Airports. Prince Mohammed Bin Abdulaziz International Airport expansion in Madinah is the first airport in the GCC developing on a Public Private Partnership (PPP) basis. There is plan worth \$11.3 billion expansion of King Abdulaziz International Airport in Jeddah to increase capacity to 80 million passengers by 2035. International airports witnessed a 15.7% passenger increase in 2010 to reach 17.7 million. Thus, it is certain that the Saudi Aviation industry will soon become an open market for airlines from across the world. The passengers flying to and from Saudi Arabia will then have choices in selecting airlines. According to Tae et al (2010), liberalization of the air transport has two major influences namely; first, substantial economic and traffic growth, which is due to increased competition and efficiency gains in the airline industry, as well as positive externalities to the overall economy. Second, it allows the airlines to optimize their networks within and across continental markets. The changing market structure from monopoly to competition for Saudia gets a situation where the indigenous company needs an all-round analysis for identifying the gaps and filling the gaps prior to facing competition. One such area of analysis is the satisfaction of the

passengers from the service quality of Saudia. This study is important because the findings of previous studies showed that customer satisfaction has strong influence on the customer retention and business effectiveness of a firm (Kwong et. al., 2009). To remain competitive the Saudia must deliver high quality of services. Thus, this study aimed to find out the passengers satisfaction from the service quality of Saudia, which will support the Saudia management in planning their services in future.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Customer satisfaction due to its importance had been a topic of interest since long for the researchers. According to Levesque & McDougall (1996), Satisfaction is an overall customer attitude towards a service provider. This attitude formed through the experience with the service quality provided by the organization. According to Zineldin (2000), it is an emotional reaction to the difference between what customers anticipate and what they receive. Further, defined, as “the customer’s fulfillment response”. It is a judgement that a product or service feature, or the product of service itself, provided (or is providing) a pleasurable level of consumption-related fulfillment, including levels of under – or over fulfillment (Oliver, 1997). According to Zeithaml and Bitner (2003), if a product meets the need of the consumer, it is termed as customer satisfaction and customer satisfaction plays important role in retaining customers. Consumers evaluate service on technical dimension ‘what is delivered’, and functional dimension ‘how it is delivered’ (Gronroos, 1983; Hill, 1986; Richard & Allaway, 1993; Walker & Baker, 2000).

**Service Quality and customer satisfaction:** Researches showed that there is positive correlation between service quality and customer satisfaction. Which means that good service quality increases the customer satisfaction. On the other hand, customer satisfaction is an indicator of good service quality. Better service quality resulted into greater customer satisfaction and reduced customer erosion (Leeds, 1992). According to Dong and Dresner (2012), improved customer service increases the customer satisfaction, which improves, the company’s performance. Customer satisfaction has a strong influence on the customer retention and business effectiveness of a firm (Kwong et al., 2009). According to the study of Reichheld & Sasser (1990), customer satisfaction is a penchant for the defense to retain customers rather than for the offence to find new customers in a competitive environment. Customer satisfaction is a crucial factor of competitive advantage and helps to create the success for a company (Kim & Lee, 2011). There is proportional relationship between customer service, customer satisfaction, and business performance, thus providing higher service quality, increases customer satisfaction which in turn leads to better performance results, the company earns higher profits (Banker & Mashrana, 2007; Behn & Riley, 1999; Dresner & Xu, 1995; Sim et al., 2010). There is significant relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction, which means that good service quality, will satisfy a customer (Mukarramah & Sulaimon, 2014). Companies enhance satisfaction and customer loyalty by understanding customer’s requirements and meeting their expectations through high quality goods and services (Bui and Nguyen, 2004). Many researches showed that service quality leads to customer satisfaction enhancement, which influences the customer’s behaviour positively, they share the satisfaction with others, and they develop loyalty and continue with the same firm (Lee, 2011; Danaher, 1997; Magi & Julander, 1996; Levesque & McDougall, 1996; Bitner, 1990). Service quality is antecedent of the customer satisfaction (Cronin et al., 2000; Anderson et al., 1994; Cronin and Taylor, 1992). Service quality has strong impact on business performance, lower costs, return on investment, customer satisfaction, customer loyalty, and gaining higher profits (Leonard and Sasser, 1982; Gammie 1992; Hallowell 1996; Chang and Chen 1998; Gummesson 1998; Lasser et al., 2000; Newman, 2001; Sureshchander et al., 2002; Seth and Deshmukh, 2005). Customer satisfaction also serves as an exit barrier, helping a firm to retain its customers (Fornell, 1992; Halstead and Page 1992). Satisfied customers also

have fewer complaints thus reducing the handling cost (Fornell et al., 1996; Spreng et al., 1995). The findings of the researches suggested that satisfied customers are willing to pay more for the benefits they receive and are more likely to be tolerant of an increase in price (Fornell et al., 1996; Anderson et al., 1994). Dong and Desner (2012) supported the relation between service factor and customer satisfaction. He suggested that customer satisfaction can increase by improving the customer service factor and as a result, the company's performance will be better.

The deregulation in Airline industry has increased the competition, thus service quality and customer satisfaction gained attention in this industry (Denette et al., 2000). Saudi Arab airline industry shall also be open market; multinational airlines will enter and try to attract the Saudi Airlines passengers. Research showed that most airlines offer various incentives such as the frequent flyer programmes to build and maintain the customer loyalty (Miller and Hotz, 1993). Airline companies attempted to differentiate their services (Lee and Cunningham, 1996) but the passengers did not perceive any difference from one carrier to another (Ott 1993). Ostrowski et al., (1993) noted that when all airline companies have comparable fares and matching frequent flyer programs, the company with better-perceived service would draw passengers from other carriers.

Thus, the above review of literature established the importance of customer satisfaction. The customer satisfaction is indicator of service quality. Customer satisfaction influence a company in the following ways; customer retention, customer loyalty, reduced customer erosion, improved organizations performance, enhanced business effectiveness, higher profits for the company, encourages positive word of mouth from the customers, gets positive behaviour from the customers, minimizes the complains thus lowers complaints handling cost. The customer satisfaction is very important for survival, and growth of any business. Established the relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction, this study measures the passenger's satisfaction as an indicator of service quality, where higher passenger satisfaction assumed to represent high service quality and the low passenger's satisfaction represents low service quality. The study proposes the following simple model of study in this research where "service quality leads to passengers (customers) satisfaction and the passenger satisfaction indicates service quality.




Figure 1

**HYPOTHESES**

From the review of literature the researcher proposes the following service variables for measuring the satisfaction of the of Saudi airline passengers. The hypotheses are;

- H1 Passengers are satisfied with the behaviour of crewmembers in Saudi Airlines.
- H2 Passengers are satisfied with the seat numbers of Saudi Airlines.
- H3 Passengers are satisfied with the seat comfort of Saudi Airlines.
- H4 Passengers are satisfied with the cleanliness of the cabin of Saudi Airlines.
- H5 Passengers are satisfied with the reading materials in the Saudi Airlines.
- H6 Passengers are satisfied with the airline magazine in Saudi Airlines.
- H7 Passengers are satisfied with the inflight entertainment standards of Saudi Airlines
- H8 Passengers are satisfied with the Audio/video programming of Saudi Airlines.
- H9 Passengers are satisfied with the Meal choices of the Saudi Airlines.
- H10 Passengers are satisfied with the Food quantity of the Saudi Airlines
- H11 Passengers are satisfied with the Food quality of the Saudi Airlines.
- H12 Passengers are satisfied with the Safety instructions of the Saudi Airlines.
- H13 Passengers are satisfied with the Emergency services of the Saudi Airlines.
- H14 Passengers are satisfied with the Sky sales of the Saudi Airlines.
- H15 Passengers are satisfied with the Cabin ambience of the Saudi Airlines.

**STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM**

The Aviation industry of Saudi Arabia is going through the process of liberalization. The industry will be an open market for many huge and established brands from across the world. It is appropriate that the Saudia introspects and prepares itself for the competition. Measurement of the Passengers satisfaction is an important tool to identify the strength and weakness of the services. Therefore, at this stage just before the liberalization of the Saudi Arabian aviation industry, the findings of the study will help the company in strengthening the services by filling the gaps which in turn will help in retaining its passengers, who may in other case move to the competitors if not satisfied.

**OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

The researcher conducted the study with two fold objectives. First to find out the satisfaction of the passengers from the onboard service quality of the Saudia, and second, based on the findings provide a guideline highlighting the area(s) of services, which need attention of the Saudia management.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Survey Instrument:** This research is a quantitative study based on primary data collected from passengers of Saudia. The researcher collected data through a structured close-ended questionnaire, originally developed in English and translated into Arabic for the convenience of the respondents, as they were mostly Arabic speakers. Fifteen variables were identified to

(DOI: [dx.doi.org/14.9831/1444-8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02](https://doi.org/10.9831/1444-8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02))

represent the various onboard services of an aeroplane given in table 1. Level of passenger satisfaction were measured on five point Likert scale. The rating used were 5 to 1 on which, 5 equal to Highly Satisfied, 4 equal to Satisfied, 3 equal to Somewhat Satisfied, 2 equal to Dissatisfied, and 1 equal to Highly Dissatisfied.

**Sampling technique:** Convenience sampling methodology identified the respondents to administer the questionnaire. The sample consisted of group of individuals pursuing bachelor degree in various specializations in business from College of Business Rabigh, King Abdulaziz University. The respondents came to the campus for counseling classes before the exam and then for the exam. They came from different parts of the country to write the final exam, thus representing almost the entire country of Saudi Arabia. All the respondents were employees in various companies in the country. The respondents were in all age groups. The researcher administered questionnaires on confirmation that the respondent had travelled by Saudia. The sample size was 400 respondents.

**Analysis of data:** Percentage, frequencies, mean and standard deviation were the statistical tools for analysis. For the testing of hypotheses ANOVA and t-test, tested the variance and significance of the results. Cronbach Alpha measured the reliability of data.

## EMPIRICAL RESULTS

### Reliability of data

To assess the internal consistency and reliability of the data Cronbach's Alpha was used. Results in Table 1 showed that the collected data was highly consistent and reliable with the value of .924. Pallant (2004) said that reliability scores more than 0.70 are acceptable but in this case, the result in table 1 is very at 0.924 indicating the high consistency and reliability of data.

**Table 1; Reliability Test**

| <b>On Board services – Inside the plane</b> | <b>.924</b> |
|---|-------------|
| Behaviour of crew members                   |             |
| Seat numbers                                |             |
| Seat Comfort                                |             |
| Cleanliness of the Cabin                    |             |
| Reading materials                           |             |
| Airline magazine                            |             |
| Inflight entertainment standards            |             |
| Audio/Movie programming                     |             |
| Meal choices                                |             |
| Food Quantity                               |             |
| Food Quality                                |             |
| Safety instructions                         |             |
| Emergency services                          |             |
| Sky sales                                   |             |
| Cabin Ambience                              |             |

### Customer Satisfaction - Onboard Services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines

Customer satisfaction from onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi was measured through 15 variables presented in table 2 and table 3. The results show that the customer satisfaction from the onboard services was not very satisfactory. The mean value in table 2 showed that from 15 variables the mean value of 8 were greater than 3 and 6 variables mean values were less than 3. The mean value greater than 3 indicated satisfaction and less than 3 indicated dissatisfaction. In

most of the cases the mean value is just above 3 indicating somewhat satisfaction of the passengers; all have mean value less than 4. The mean values showed that the customers were either somewhat satisfied or were not satisfied from it. Table 3 showed the percentage of passengers satisfied. There were five variables for which more than 50% of the passengers were satisfied namely; cooperation from the crew members 59%; seat numbers 53%; cleanliness of the cabin 54%; safety instructions 58%; and for emergency services 55%. The variables for which percentage was less than 50%, it was low as 25% for Inflight entertainment standards; meal choices 28%; and meal quantity 27.5%. Onboard services represent the services of airline company (Saudia).

**Table 2: Customer satisfaction on onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines**

| Services                        | N  | Mean | S.D  | H.D | D.S | S.S | S   | HS  |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Behaviour of crew member        | 40 | 3.56 | 1.14 | 33  | 30  | 100 | 154 | 83  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 4    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Seat number                     | 40 | 3.48 | 1.22 | 41  | 34  | 112 | 119 | 94  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 8    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Seat comfort                    | 40 | 2.96 | 1.24 | 63  | 77  | 125 | 85  | 50  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 0    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Cleanliness of the cabin        | 40 | 3.45 | 1.22 | 39  | 46  | 96  | 134 | 85  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 1    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Reading materials               | 40 | 2.94 | 1.32 | 83  | 59  | 114 | 88  | 56  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 6    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Airline Magazine                | 40 | 3.01 | 1.27 | 69  | 63  | 116 | 98  | 54  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 9    |     |     |     |     |     |
| In-flight entrainment standards | 40 | 2.51 | 1.32 | 127 | 80  | 91  | 67  | 35  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 3    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Audio/ Movie programming        | 40 | 2.77 | 1.33 | 99  | 67  | 113 | 71  | 50  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 6    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Meal choices                    | 40 | 2.73 | 1.28 | 92  | 81  | 113 | 71  | 43  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 9    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Food Quantity                   | 40 | 2.71 | 1.29 | 95  | 79  | 116 | 67  | 43  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 0    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Food Quality                    | 40 | 2.81 | 1.32 | 91  | 73  | 106 | 80  | 50  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 7    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Safety instructions             | 40 | 3.61 | 1.26 | 39  | 34  | 95  | 110 | 122 |
|                                 | 0  |      | 8    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Emergency services              | 40 | 3.50 | 1.26 | 44  | 37  | 97  | 120 | 102 |
|                                 | 0  |      | 8    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Sky sales                       | 40 | 3.16 | 1.23 | 54  | 57  | 118 | 112 | 59  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 7    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Cabin ambience                  | 40 | 3.22 | 1.21 | 49  | 53  | 120 | 119 | 59  |
|                                 | 0  |      | 1    |     |     |     |     |     |

SD = Standard deviation/ HD = Highly Dissatisfied/ DS=Dissatisfied/ SS=Somewhat satisfied/ S= Satisfied/ HS= Highly satisfied

**Table 3: Customer satisfaction on onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines**

| Services                 | Percentage |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Behaviour of crew member | 59.3       |
| Seat number              | 53.3       |

|                                   |      |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Seat comfort                      | 33.8 |
| Cleanliness of the cabin          | 54.8 |
| Reading materials                 | 36.0 |
| Airline Magazine                  | 38.0 |
| In-flight entertainment standards | 25.5 |
| Audio/ Movie programming          | 30.3 |
| Meal choices                      | 28.5 |
| Food Quantity                     | 27.5 |
| Food Quality                      | 32.5 |
| Safety instructions               | 58.0 |
| Emergency services                | 55.5 |
| Sky sales                         | 42.8 |
| Cabin ambience                    | 44.5 |

**Cross sectional analysis of passengers' satisfaction;** In this section the passenger's satisfaction from onboard services are analyzed across six variables namely; Nationality, Class of travel (Business, economy, & both); Duration of travel by Saudi Airlines; Distance of travel (Domestic, International & Both); Experience of traveling by other airlines; Membership of AlFursan (Loyalty Program of Saudi Airlines).

The results in table 4 and figure 1 present a comparative analysis of passengers' satisfaction across nationality (Saudi nationals and expatriates). There were 365 Saudi respondents and 35 Non Saudi respondents. ANOVA analysis of satisfaction shows that the non-Saudi passengers are comparatively more satisfied than the Saudi passengers indicated by the mean values. However, comparatively non-Saudis are satisfied but their satisfaction level individually is not high as indicated by the mean values, which is above three in most of the cases but less than four for all the variables. Non Saudi were not satisfied with inflight entertainment standards mean value 2.77, and for Audio/Movie programming mean value 2.94. For most of the variables, the difference between Saudi and Non-Saudi nationals were not statistically significant except for seat comfort, cleanliness of the cabin, meal choice, food choices, food quantity, and cabin ambience were the variance were statistically significant.

**Table 4: ANOVA - Customer satisfaction on onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines**

| Services                 | Saudi |             |           | Non Saudi |             |           | F     | df | Sig. |
|--------------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|----|------|
|                          | N     | Mean        | Std. dev. | N         | Mean        | Std. dev. |       |    |      |
| Behaviour of crew member | 365   | <b>3.55</b> | 1.156     | 35        | <b>3.71</b> | 1.017     | .697  | 1  | .404 |
| Seat number              | 365   | <b>3.46</b> | 1.237     | 35        | <b>3.63</b> | 1.140     | .580  | 1  | .447 |
| Seat comfort             | 365   | <b>2.90</b> | 1.246     | 35        | <b>3.54</b> | 1.010     | 8.793 | 1  | .003 |
| Cleanliness of the cabin | 365   | <b>3.41</b> | 1.240     | 35        | <b>3.91</b> | .887      | 5.609 | 1  | .018 |
| Reading materials        | 365   | <b>2.92</b> | 1.336     | 35        | <b>3.09</b> | 1.222     | .479  | 1  | .489 |
| Airline Magazine         | 365   | <b>3.00</b> | 1.298     | 35        | <b>3.17</b> | 1.071     | .591  | 1  | .442 |
| Inflight entertainment   | 365   | <b>2.48</b> | 1.32      | 35        | <b>2.77</b> | 1.330     | 1.529 | 1  | .217 |

(DOI: [dx.doi.org/14.9831/1444-8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02](https://doi.org/10.1444-8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02))

|                          |     |             |      |   |             |       |        |   |      |
|--------------------------|-----|-------------|------|---|-------------|-------|--------|---|------|
| standards                |     |             | 1    | 5 |             |       |        |   |      |
| Audio/ Movie programming | 365 | <b>2.75</b> | 1.33 | 3 | <b>2.94</b> | 1.327 | .679   | 1 | .410 |
| Meal choices             | 365 | <b>2.69</b> | 1.30 | 3 | <b>3.17</b> | 1.043 | 4.539  | 1 | .034 |
| Food Quantity            | 365 | <b>2.65</b> | 1.29 | 3 | <b>3.37</b> | 1.060 | 10.313 | 1 | .001 |
| Food Quality             | 365 | <b>2.75</b> | 1.33 | 3 | <b>3.46</b> | 1.094 | 9.234  | 1 | .003 |
| Safety instructions      | 365 | <b>3.59</b> | 1.29 | 3 | <b>3.74</b> | .886  | .453   | 1 | .501 |
| Emergency services       | 365 | <b>3.47</b> | 1.29 | 3 | <b>3.77</b> | .910  | 1.792  | 1 | .181 |
| Sky sales                | 365 | <b>3.16</b> | 1.24 | 3 | <b>3.17</b> | 1.150 | .002   | 1 | .964 |
| Cabin ambience           | 365 | <b>3.16</b> | 1.22 | 3 | <b>3.80</b> | .901  | 9.127  | 1 | .003 |




Figure 2; Satisfaction on nationality

**Passenger’s satisfaction across the class of travel;** Results in table 5 and figure 3 compared the satisfaction of passengers according to the class of their travel namely; business class, economy class and both. There were 39 respondents travelled by business class, 229 respondents travelled by economy class, and 132 respondents travelled in both the classes. The results of ANOVA showed that the passengers travelled with business class were comparatively more satisfied than (DOI: [dx.doi.org/14.9831/1444-8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02](https://doi.org/10.1444/8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02))




the passengers travelled by economy class and both the classes. Individually and collectively, the passengers were not satisfied instead were somewhat satisfied as indicated by the mean value three and around three but less than four for all the three classes of travel. The mean value for the economy class passengers in comparison to business class passengers is higher indicating higher satisfaction in the following three variables namely; behaviour of the crewmember, seat numbers, safety instructions, and cabin ambience. The low satisfaction of the business class passengers may be due to the higher expectations of the business class passengers. The satisfaction level of passengers travelled by both the classes is low in comparison to other class passengers. However, the travelers in 'both class' were more satisfied compared to business class passengers for the cabin ambience. The results are not statistically significant.

**Table 5: ANOVA - Customer satisfaction on onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines**

| Services                 | Business Class |            |           | Economy |            |           | Both |             |           | F     | df | Sig |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|------|-------------|-----------|-------|----|-----|
|                          | N              | Mean       | Std. Dev. | N       | Mean       | Std. Dev. | N    | Mean        | Std. Dev. |       |    |     |
| Behaviour of crew member | 39             | <b>3.4</b> | 1.2       | 229     | <b>3.6</b> | 1.062     | 13   | <b>3.47</b> | 1.244     | 1.109 | 2  | .33 |
| Seat number              | 39             | <b>3.3</b> | 1.1       | 229     | <b>3.5</b> | 1.194     | 13   | <b>3.45</b> | 1.316     | 0.399 | 2  | .67 |
| Seat comfort             | 39             | <b>3.3</b> | 1.1       | 229     | <b>2.9</b> | 1.248     | 13   | <b>2.85</b> | 1.239     | 2.582 | 2  | .07 |
| Cleanliness of the cabin | 39             | <b>3.5</b> | 1.2       | 229     | <b>3.4</b> | 1.230     | 13   | <b>3.37</b> | 1.194     | 0.447 | 2  | .64 |
| Reading materials        | 39             | <b>3.1</b> | 1.2       | 229     | <b>2.9</b> | 1.354     | 13   | <b>2.80</b> | 1.304     | 1.417 | 2  | .24 |
| Airline Magazine         | 39             | <b>3.1</b> | 1.0       | 229     | <b>3.0</b> | 1.309     | 13   | <b>2.95</b> | 1.301     | 0.254 | 2  | .77 |
| Inflight entertainment   | 39             | <b>2.7</b> | 1.2       | 229     | <b>2.5</b> | 1.340     | 13   | <b>2.42</b> | 1.320     | 1.026 | 2  | .35 |
| Audio/ Movie programming | 39             | <b>2.8</b> | 1.3       | 229     | <b>2.7</b> | 1.341     | 13   | <b>2.72</b> | 1.321     | 0.204 | 2  | .81 |
| Meal choices             | 39             | <b>2.8</b> | 1.2       | 229     | <b>2.7</b> | 1.292     | 13   | <b>2.63</b> | 1.298     | 0.667 | 2  | .51 |
| Food Quantity            | 39             | <b>2.9</b> | 1.1       | 229     | <b>2.6</b> | 1.309     | 13   | <b>2.68</b> | 1.292     | 0.459 | 2  | .63 |
| Food Quality             | 39             | <b>2.8</b> | 1.2       | 229     | <b>2.8</b> | 1.325     | 13   | <b>2.83</b> | 1.351     | 0.013 | 2  | .98 |
| Safety instructions      | 39             | <b>3.4</b> | 1.3       | 229     | <b>3.6</b> | 1.246     | 13   | <b>3.62</b> | 1.281     | 0.187 | 2  | .83 |
| Emergency services       | 39             | <b>3.5</b> | 1.4       | 229     | <b>3.4</b> | 1.238     | 13   | <b>3.52</b> | 1.287     | 0.054 | 2  | .94 |
| Sky sales                | 39             | <b>3.2</b> | 1.1       | 229     | <b>3.1</b> | 1.244     | 13   | <b>3.17</b> | 1.255     | 0.075 | 2  | .92 |
| Cabin ambience           | 39             | <b>3.1</b> | 1.4       | 229     | <b>3.2</b> | 1.180     | 13   | <b>3.23</b> | 1.210     | 0.190 | 2  | .82 |





*Figure 3; Satisfaction across the class of travel*

**Passenger satisfaction according to the duration of experience of using the services of Saudia (for how long they have been travelling by Saudia)**

Results in table 6 and figure 4 classified and compared the satisfaction of passengers according to the duration they had used the services of Saudia. The duration of experience of the passengers were classified into three categories namely; less than 6 years, 6 to 10 years, and more than 10 years. The satisfaction line in figure 4 clearly show that the passengers using the services of Saudi Airlines for more than 10 years are least satisfied in the group. The low satisfaction is for all the variables without exception. The other two groups 'less than 6 years' and '6 to 10 years' were more or less satisfied. However, all the three groups were in general only somewhat satisfied indicated by the mean value less than 4 in all the categories and for all the variables. The variance in all the three groups are not statistically significant except for inflight entertainment (.001) and Audio/movie entertainment (.001).

**Table 6: ANOVA - Customer satisfaction on onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines**

| Services                 | Less than 6 years |             |              | 6 to 10 years |             |              | More than 10 years |             |              | F     | d | Sig . |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---|-------|
|                          | N                 | Me<br>an    | Std.<br>Dev. | N             | Me<br>an    | Std.<br>Dev. | N                  | Mea<br>n    | Std.<br>Dev. |       |   |       |
| Behaviour of crew member | 165               | <b>3.67</b> | 1.067        | 105           | <b>3.70</b> | 1.126        | 130                | <b>3.31</b> | 1.219        | 4.806 | 2 | .009  |
| Seat number              | 165               | <b>3.45</b> | 1.227        | 105           | <b>3.68</b> | 1.236        | 130                | <b>3.35</b> | 1.213        | 2.091 | 2 | .125  |
| Seat comfort             | 165               | <b>3.08</b> | 1.227        | 105           | <b>3.10</b> | 1.232        | 130                | <b>2.67</b> | 1.222        | 5.234 | 2 | .006  |
| Cleanliness of the cabin | 165               | <b>3.64</b> | 1.185        | 105           | <b>3.53</b> | 1.152        | 130                | <b>3.15</b> | 1.270        | 6.356 | 2 | .002  |
| Reading materials        | 165               | <b>3.01</b> | 1.314        | 105           | <b>3.12</b> | 1.299        | 130                | <b>2.70</b> | 1.339        | 3.383 | 2 | .035  |
| Airline Magazine         | 165               | <b>2.99</b> | 1.232        | 105           | <b>3.23</b> | 1.280        | 130                | <b>2.86</b> | 1.322        | 2.438 | 2 | .089  |
| Inflight entertainment   | 165               | <b>2.64</b> | 1.330        | 105           | <b>2.75</b> | 1.371        | 130                | <b>2.15</b> | 1.201        | 7.684 | 2 | .001  |
| Audio/ Movie programming | 165               | <b>2.94</b> | 1.310        | 105           | <b>2.93</b> | 1.396        | 130                | <b>2.41</b> | 1.256        | 7.102 | 2 | .001  |
| Meal choices             | 165               | <b>2.82</b> | 1.265        | 105           | <b>2.77</b> | 1.317        | 130                | <b>2.58</b> | 1.293        | 1.269 | 2 | .282  |
| Food Quantity            | 165               | <b>2.77</b> | 1.281        | 105           | <b>2.70</b> | 1.379        | 130                | <b>2.64</b> | 1.233        | .376  | 2 | .687  |
| Food Quality             | 165               | <b>2.85</b> | 1.265        | 105           | <b>2.87</b> | 1.394        | 130                | <b>2.72</b> | 1.354        | .517  | 2 | .597  |
| Safety instructions      | 165               | <b>3.65</b> | 1.234        | 105           | <b>3.70</b> | 1.202        | 130                | <b>3.48</b> | 1.360        | 1.026 | 2 | .359  |
| Emergency services       | 165               | <b>3.52</b> | 1.257        | 105           | <b>3.54</b> | 1.271        | 130                | <b>3.43</b> | 1.288        | .275  | 2 | .760  |
| Sky sales                | 165               | <b>3.11</b> | 1.264        | 105           | <b>3.37</b> | 1.227        | 130                | <b>3.06</b> | 1.199        | 2.096 | 2 | .124  |
| Cabin ambience           | 165               | <b>3.28</b> | 1.218        | 105           | <b>3.33</b> | 1.222        | 130                | <b>3.04</b> | 1.184        | 2.122 | 2 | .121  |




Figure 4: Satisfaction as according to use of Saudi Airlines Services


**Passenger satisfaction according to the destination travelled by the passengers by Saudia(domestic, international or both)**

Results in table 7 and figure 5 classified and compared the satisfaction of passengers according to the destination they travelled by Saudia namely; domestic, international and both. The satisfaction line in figure 4 clearly show that the international passengers were comparatively more satisfied as compared to other two groups. The main difference between domestic and international flights were in the following areas namely; reading materials, airline magazine, inflight entertainment standards, audio/movie programming, meal choices, seat numbers, seat comforts, food quantity and food quality. The international passengers were more satisfied. The difference is but obvious because for long routes, bigger aircrafts are used which are usually equipped with better facilities. However, in this case also the satisfaction across the three categories somewhat differ from each other but overall satisfaction is low indicated by the mean value less than 4 for all the variables in all the three categories. The mean values hovered over 3 indicating only somewhat satisfaction of the passengers and in many cases the mean values are less than 3. The variance is not statistically significant as shown in table 8 except for food quantity, which is statistically significant (.006) and meal choices (.012).

**Table 7: ANOVA - Customer satisfaction on onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines**

| Services                 | Domestic |      |           | International |      |           | Both |      |           | F    | d | Sig. |
|--------------------------|----------|------|-----------|---------------|------|-----------|------|------|-----------|------|---|------|
|                          | N        | Mean | Std. Dev. | N             | Mean | Std. Dev. | N    | Mean | Std. Dev. |      |   |      |
| Behaviour of crew member | 189      | 3.52 | 1.183     | 44            | 3.75 | 1.014     | 167  | 3.56 | 1.133     | .730 | 2 | .482 |
| Seat number              | 189      | 3.41 | 1.284     | 44            | 3.5  | 1.11      | 167  | 3.5  | 1.19      | .501 | 2 | .60  |

|                                  |     |             |       |    |            |      |     |            |      |      |   |     |
|----------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------|----|------------|------|-----|------------|------|------|---|-----|
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>2</b>   | 0    |     | <b>4</b>   | 6    |      |   | 7   |
| Seat comfort                     | 189 | <b>2.95</b> | 1.237 | 44 | <b>3.1</b> | 1.21 | 167 | <b>2.9</b> | 1.25 | .555 | 2 | .57 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>4</b>   | 2    |     | <b>2</b>   | 3    |      |   | 4   |
| Cleanliness of the cabin         | 189 | <b>3.47</b> | 1.253 | 44 | <b>3.4</b> | 1.10 | 167 | <b>3.4</b> | 1.22 | .043 | 2 | .95 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>1</b>   | 6    |     | <b>4</b>   | 0    |      |   | 8   |
| Reading materials                | 189 | <b>2.92</b> | 1.364 | 44 | <b>3.0</b> | 1.21 | 167 | <b>2.9</b> | 1.31 | .165 | 2 | .84 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>5</b>   | 9    |     | <b>3</b>   | 5    |      |   | 8   |
| Airline Magazine                 | 189 | <b>2.99</b> | 1.227 | 44 | <b>3.1</b> | 1.24 | 167 | <b>3.0</b> | 1.35 | .157 | 2 | .85 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>1</b>   | 3    |     | <b>1</b>   | 1    |      |   | 5   |
| Inflight entertainment standards | 189 | <b>2.39</b> | 1.307 | 44 | <b>2.8</b> | 1.24 | 167 | <b>2.5</b> | 1.35 | 2.06 | 2 | .12 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>2</b>   | 4    |     | <b>6</b>   | 2    | 8    |   | 8   |
| Audio/ Movie programming         | 189 | <b>2.69</b> | 1.317 | 44 | <b>3.2</b> | 1.21 | 167 | <b>2.7</b> | 1.36 | 3.01 | 2 | .05 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>3</b>   | 7    |     | <b>2</b>   | 9    | 5    |   | 0   |
| Meal choices                     | 189 | <b>2.53</b> | 1.261 | 44 | <b>3.0</b> | 1.22 | 167 | <b>2.8</b> | 1.30 | 4.43 | 2 | .01 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>2</b>   | 9    |     | <b>7</b>   | 9    | 2    |   | 2   |
| Food Quantity                    | 189 | <b>2.49</b> | 1.270 | 44 | <b>2.9</b> | 1.09 | 167 | <b>2.8</b> | 1.32 | 5.26 | 2 | .00 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>5</b>   | 9    |     | <b>9</b>   | 6    | 1    |   | 6   |
| Food Quality                     | 189 | <b>2.66</b> | 1.342 | 44 | <b>3.0</b> | 1.17 | 167 | <b>2.9</b> | 1.33 | 2.81 | 2 | .06 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>9</b>   | 8    |     | <b>2</b>   | 3    | 5    |   | 1   |
| Safety instructions              | 189 | <b>3.59</b> | 1.258 | 44 | <b>3.5</b> | 1.24 | 167 | <b>3.6</b> | 1.29 | .028 | 2 | .97 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>9</b>   | 5    |     | <b>2</b>   | 2    |      |   | 2   |
| Emergency services               | 189 | <b>3.56</b> | 1.293 | 44 | <b>3.4</b> | 1.08 | 167 | <b>3.4</b> | 1.28 | .449 | 2 | .63 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>5</b>   | 8    |     | <b>4</b>   | 7    |      |   | 9   |
| Sky sales                        | 189 | <b>3.13</b> | 1.220 | 44 | <b>3.1</b> | 1.11 | 167 | <b>3.2</b> | 1.29 | .123 | 2 | .88 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>6</b>   | 9    |     | <b>0</b>   | 1    |      |   | 4   |
| Cabin ambience                   | 189 | <b>3.18</b> | 1.237 | 44 | <b>3.2</b> | 1.16 | 167 | <b>3.2</b> | 1.19 | .163 | 2 | .85 |
|                                  |     |             |       |    | <b>7</b>   | 9    |     | <b>4</b>   | 8    |      |   | 0   |



*Figure 5: Satisfaction According to distance travelled*

#### **Passenger satisfaction according to the experience of travelling by other airlines**

Results in table 8 and figure 6 classified and compared the satisfaction of passengers according to the experience of travelling by other airlines and no experience of travelling by other airlines. The results showed that the satisfaction level of the passengers who have travelled by other airlines is low for all the variables except for Behaviour of the crewmembers. The low satisfaction may be due to the comparison between the travelling experience by Saudi Airlines and the other airlines used. The ANOVA results are statistically significant except for the variables behaviour of the crewmember (.001), seat comfort (.003), cleanliness of the cabin (.010), reading materials (.006), airline magazine (.022), inflight entertainment (.006), audio/movie programming (.001), meal choices (.046), emergency services (.060), sky sales (.048), and cabin ambience (.034). There is difference of satisfaction among the passengers travelled by other airlines and not travelled by other airlines; however, the overall satisfaction level in both the groups were low as indicated by mean value 3 for all the variables and both the groups.

**Table 8: ANOVA - Customer satisfaction on onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines**

| Services                 | Travelled by other Airlines 'Yes' |                        |              | Travelled by other Airlines 'No' |                        |              | F          | df | Sig. |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------|----|------|
|                          | N                                 | Me<br>an               | Std.<br>Dev. | N                                | Me<br>an               | Std.<br>Dev. |            |    |      |
| Behaviour of crew member | 270                               | <b>3.4</b><br><b>3</b> | 1.154        | 130                              | <b>3.8</b><br><b>3</b> | 1.079        | 11.05<br>3 | 1  | .001 |
| Seat number              | 270                               | <b>3.4</b><br><b>4</b> | 1.217        | 130                              | <b>3.5</b><br><b>5</b> | 1.252        | .601       | 1  | .439 |
| Seat comfort             | 270                               | <b>2.8</b><br><b>3</b> | 1.214        | 130                              | <b>3.2</b><br><b>2</b> | 1.257        | 8.660      | 1  | .003 |
| Cleanliness of the cabin | 270                               | <b>3.3</b><br><b>4</b> | 1.196        | 130                              | <b>3.6</b><br><b>8</b> | 1.246        | 6.746      | 1  | .010 |
| Reading materials        | 270                               | <b>2.8</b><br><b>1</b> | 1.269        | 130                              | <b>3.2</b><br><b>0</b> | 1.405        | 7.675      | 1  | .006 |
| Airline Magazine         | 270                               | <b>2.9</b><br><b>1</b> | 1.279        | 130                              | <b>3.2</b><br><b>2</b> | 1.259        | 5.275      | 1  | .022 |
| Inflight entertainment   | 270                               | <b>2.3</b><br><b>8</b> | 1.246        | 130                              | <b>2.7</b><br><b>7</b> | 1.439        | 7.668      | 1  | .006 |
| Audio/ Movie programming | 270                               | <b>2.6</b><br><b>2</b> | 1.281        | 130                              | <b>3.0</b><br><b>7</b> | 1.399        | 10.22<br>2 | 1  | .001 |
| Meal choices             | 270                               | <b>2.6</b><br><b>4</b> | 1.247        | 130                              | <b>2.9</b><br><b>2</b> | 1.358        | 4.014      | 1  | .046 |
| Food Quantity            | 270                               | <b>2.6</b><br><b>8</b> | 1.218        | 130                              | <b>2.7</b><br><b>8</b> | 1.432        | .517       | 1  | .472 |
| Food Quality             | 270                               | <b>2.7</b><br><b>7</b> | 1.274        | 130                              | <b>2.9</b><br><b>1</b> | 1.433        | .991       | 1  | .320 |
| Safety instructions      | 270                               | <b>3.5</b><br><b>8</b> | 1.249        | 130                              | <b>3.6</b><br><b>6</b> | 1.309        | .382       | 1  | .537 |
| Emergency services       | 270                               | <b>3.4</b><br><b>1</b> | 1.275        | 130                              | <b>3.6</b><br><b>7</b> | 1.241        | 3.553      | 1  | .060 |
| Sky sales                | 270                               | <b>3.0</b><br><b>8</b> | 1.231        | 130                              | <b>3.3</b><br><b>4</b> | 1.236        | 3.926      | 1  | .048 |
| Cabin ambience           | 270                               | <b>3.1</b><br><b>3</b> | 1.185        | 130                              | <b>3.4</b><br><b>0</b> | 1.249        | 4.532      | 1  | .034 |






Figure 6: traveled by other airlines

### Passenger satisfaction according to the membership in loyalty program (AlFursan Program)

Results in table 9 and figure 7 classified and compared the satisfaction of passengers according to the membership in loyalty program of Saudi Airlines called AlFursan. For analysis, the respondents are divided into two groups: first 'member of loyalty program AlFursan' and second 'not member of AlFursan program'. The satisfaction level of the Al Fursan members is low in comparison to the satisfaction level of those who were not members of the AlFursan. For all the variables, the satisfaction level of the AlFursan members in comparison to Non AlFursan members is low as shown in figure 6. However, they are very close to each other but the point is that the loyalty program of the Saudi airlines instead of enhancing the passenger satisfaction affecting is negatively, they are less satisfied than the non-members are. This may be because the members' expectations may have increased after taking the loyalty program members and the services of the Saudi airlines remained at the same level. The AlFursan members are not satisfied with 8 variables from 15 indicated by mean value less than 3 for the variables namely; seat comfort 2.86; reading materials 2.80; Airline magazine 2.96; Inflight entertainment 2.47; Audio/video programming 2.74; meal choices 2.68; food quantity 2.71; food quality 2.76. The non-members were not satisfied for the following five services only: Inflight entertainment 2.53; Audio/video programming 2.78; meal choices 2.77; food quantity 2.71; food quality 2.85. The overall satisfaction level in both the categories is low indicated by the mean value, which hovered around 3. The results are statistically not significant except for behaviour of the crew member (.082), cleanliness of the cabin (.20) and reading materials (.074).

**Table9: ANOVA - Customer satisfaction on onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines**

| Services                 | Al Fursan Member |             |           | Not Al Fursan member |             |           | F     | df | Sig. |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|----|------|
|                          | N                | Mean        | Std. dev. | N                    | Mean        | Std. dev. |       |    |      |
| Behaviour of crew member | 169              | <b>3.44</b> | 1.243     | 231                  | <b>3.65</b> | 1.061     | 3.033 | 1  | .082 |
| Seat number              | 169              | <b>3.45</b> | 1.253     | 231                  | <b>3.50</b> | 1.212     | .150  | 1  | .699 |
| Seat comfort             | 169              | <b>2.86</b> | 1.239     | 231                  | <b>3.02</b> | 1.239     | 1.582 | 1  | .209 |
| Cleanliness of the cabin | 169              | <b>3.28</b> | 1.259     | 231                  | <b>3.57</b> | 1.181     | 5.467 | 1  | .020 |
| Reading materials        | 169              | <b>2.80</b> | 1.365     | 231                  | <b>3.04</b> | 1.290     | 3.219 | 1  | .074 |
| Airline Magazine         | 169              | <b>2.96</b> | 1.336     | 231                  | <b>3.05</b> | 1.238     | .411  | 1  | .522 |
| Inflight entertainment   | 169              | <b>2.47</b> | 1.380     | 231                  | <b>2.53</b> | 1.281     | .194  | 1  | .659 |
| Audio/ Movie programming | 169              | <b>2.74</b> | 1.373     | 231                  | <b>2.78</b> | 1.311     | .105  | 1  | .746 |
| Meal choices             | 169              | <b>2.68</b> | 1.320     | 231                  | <b>2.77</b> | 1.267     | .431  | 1  | .512 |
| Food Quantity            | 169              | <b>2.71</b> | 1.316     | 231                  | <b>2.71</b> | 1.274     | .000  | 1  | .999 |
| Food Quality             | 169              | <b>2.76</b> | 1.378     | 231                  | <b>2.85</b> | 1.290     | .504  | 1  | .478 |
| Safety instructions      | 169              | <b>3.56</b> | 1.362     | 231                  | <b>3.64</b> | 1.197     | .334  | 1  | .564 |
| Emergency services       | 169              | <b>3.46</b> | 1.345     | 231                  | <b>3.52</b> | 1.212     | .235  | 1  | .628 |
| Sky sales                | 169              | <b>3.18</b> | 1.246     | 231                  | <b>3.15</b> | 1.233     | .043  | 1  | .836 |
| Cabin ambience           | 169              | <b>3.12</b> | 1.226     | 231                  | <b>3.28</b> | 1.199     | 1.645 | 1  | .200 |




Figure 7: Satisfaction according to loyalty membership

## DISCUSSIONS

The study undertaken with the main objective of empirically measuring the satisfaction of Saudi Airlines passenger from its onboard services and highlight the areas of improvement for the management of Saudi airlines. The results in table 10 presents the result and measures the significance of the results. Onboard services – inside the plane is the most important variable in measuring the overall satisfaction of airlines customers. Satisfaction from the onboard services in fact represents the actual service, which the passengers avail i.e., travelling from one destination to another. The passengers to the airline company directly associate this service to the airlines.

The results in table 10 present the mean values for individual variables of onboard services, their standard deviation, and t value to test the hypothesis. In all, there were 15 variables, which have been used to measure the onboard services of the airplane. From the fifteen variables, the mean value for eight variables is above 3 and seven variables mean value is less than 3. The mean value for the eight variables range from 3.01 to 3.61. The variables are namely safety instructions (3.61), Behaviour of crew members (3.56), Emergency services (3.50), Seat numbers (3.48), Cleanliness of the cabin (3.45), Cabin ambience (3.22), Sky sales (3.16), Airline magazine (3.01). However, to note that though the mean value for these variables are above 3, it is less than 4 indicating that the passengers are not satisfied with these services only somewhat satisfied. Given the results the following hypotheses H12, H1, H13, H2, H4, H15, H14, and H6 are partially accepted. The results for all the eight variables are significant at 95% confidence level except for airline magazine (.845). A close look to these services shows that these are the services, which the passengers do not directly use for the travel, but they are important. The other seven variables for which the mean value is less than 3 are directly consumed and looked for by the passengers. These variables are seat comfort (2.96), reading materials (2.94), Food quality (2.81), Audio/Movie programming ((2.77), Meal choices (2.73), Food quantity (2.71), In-flight entertainment standards (2.51). These services are consumed every time the passenger is (DOI: [dx.doi.org/14.9831/1444-8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02](https://dx.doi.org/14.9831/1444-8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02))

travelling and would not like to compromise in it. These would immediately enhance the satisfaction or greatly cause the dissatisfaction. In the light of the results H3, H5, H11, H8, H9, H10, and H7 are rejected. The results are statistically significant for H11, H8, H9, H10 and H7, at 95% confidence level for the other two variables the results are not statistically significant.

The low satisfaction level of the Saudi Airlines passenger is a matter of concern, it needs attention and action plan from the management because satisfaction has a strong influence on customer (passenger) retention and business effectiveness of a firm (Kwong et al., 2009). After the industry is open to competition the passengers not satisfied will move to the competitors. Kim and Lee (2011) says customer satisfaction is a crucial factor of competitive advantage and helps to create the success for a company. Previous research showed that most airlines offers incentives such as frequent flyer programmes to build and maintain the customer loyalty (Miller and Hotz, 1993). Airline companies are attempted to differentiate their services (Lee and Cunningham, 1996) but the passengers did not perceive any difference from one carrier to another (Ott., 1993). Ostrowski et al., (1993) noted that when all airline companies have comparable fares and matching frequent flyer programs, the company with better-perceived service would draw passengers from other carriers. Thus, it is very important for the Saudi Airlines to enhance their service quality as most of the features offered by different airlines.

**Table 10: One sample t test - Customer satisfaction on onboard services (inside the plane) of Saudi Airlines**

| Services                          | N   | Mean | Std. Dev. | t      | df  | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------|-----------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Safety instructions               | 400 | 3.61 | 1.268     | 9.542  | 399 | .000            |
| Behaviour crew member             | 400 | 3.56 | 1.144     | 9.787  | 399 | .000            |
| Emergency services                | 400 | 3.50 | 1.268     | 7.844  | 399 | .000            |
| Seat number                       | 400 | 3.48 | 1.228     | 7.776  | 399 | .000            |
| Cleanliness of the cabin          | 400 | 3.45 | 1.221     | 7.370  | 399 | .000            |
| Cabin ambience                    | 400 | 3.22 | 1.211     | 3.550  | 399 | .000            |
| Sky sales                         | 400 | 3.16 | 1.237     | 2.628  | 399 | .009            |
| Airline Magazine                  | 400 | 3.01 | 1.279     | .195   | 399 | .845            |
| Seat comfort                      | 400 | 2.96 | 1.240     | -.726  | 399 | .468            |
| Reading materials                 | 400 | 2.94 | 1.326     | -.943  | 399 | .346            |
| Food Quality                      | 400 | 2.81 | 1.327     | -2.825 | 399 | .005            |
| Audio/ Movie programming          | 400 | 2.77 | 1.336     | -3.519 | 399 | .000            |
| Meal choices                      | 400 | 2.73 | 1.289     | -4.190 | 399 | .000            |
| Food Quantity                     | 400 | 2.71 | 1.290     | -4.495 | 399 | .000            |
| In-flight entertainment standards | 400 | 2.51 | 1.323     | -7.447 | 399 | .000            |

## CONCLUSION

The main objective of the study was find out the satisfaction of Saudi passengers from its onboard services. The satisfaction of the passengers were analyzed along various independent variables such as nationality of the passengers, class of travel, duration of experience of travel, destination of travel, experience of travelling by other airlines, according to the membership in loyalty (DOI: [dx.doi.org/14.9831/1444-8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02](https://doi.org/10.1444-8939.2015/3-8/MRR.02))

program. The results in table 2 through table 9 showed in general that the passengers' satisfaction was low in general. In all the categories for all the variables the mean value hovered around three, in some cases just above three and in many cases below three. The mean value for none of the variables in any category was four or above four. Thus from the results it can safely be concluded that in general the Saudia passengers were only somewhat satisfied. From the results it can also be said that the passengers were neither highly dissatisfied. The management of Saudi needs an improvement plan for future as to enhance the passengers' satisfaction to retain the passengers from moving to the competitors.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant no. (121/849/14333). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR technical and financial support.

#### REFERENCES

- Anderson, E.W., Fornell, C., Lehmann, D.R. (1994) "Customer satisfaction, marketing share, and profitability: findings from Sweden", *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3), 53-66.
- Gronroos, C. (1983) "Strategic management and marketing in the service sector" Cambridge M.A. Marketing Science Institute. *International Journal of Service Industry Management* 12(1): 5-10.
- Gronroos, C. (1983) "Strategic management and marketing in the service sector", *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 12(1): 5-10.
- Hill, D.J. (1986) "Satisfaction and consumer services. In R.L. Lutz (Ed.). *Advances in Consumer Research*. 13(1): 311-315. Ann Arbor, MI: Association for Consumer Research.
- Hill, D.J. (1996) "Satisfaction and consumer service", *Advances in Consumer Research*, 13(1): 311-315.
- Leeds B (1992), Mystery Shopping offers clues to quality service, *Bank Mark*, 24(11): 24-27.
- Mukarramah M A& Sulaimon M.A. (2014) "Service quality, perceived value, and customer satisfaction as determinant of airline choice in Nigeria", *International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences*, 20 – 66-80.
- Oliver, R. (1997) *Satisfaction: A Behavioural Perspective on the Consumer*: Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Richard, M.D. & Allaway, A.W. (1993) "Service quality attributes and choice behaviour" *Journal of service marketing*, 7(1), 59-68.
- Richard, M.D. and Allaway, A.W. (1993) "Service quality attributes and choice behaviour", *Journal of services Marketing*, 7(1), 59-68.
- Walker, J. & Baker, J. (2000) "An exploratory study of a multi-expectation framework for services", *Journal of Services Marketing*, 14(5), 411 – 431.

Walker, J. & Baker, J. (2000) "An exploratory study of a multi-expectation framework for services", *Journal of Services Marketing*, 14(5), 411-431.

Zeithalm V., Mary Jo Bitner (2003) "Service Marketing" Integrating Customer Focus across the Firm, 86-92, 320-321.

Zineldin, M (2000) "Total relationship management (TRM) and total quality management (TQM)", *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 15(1/2), 20-28.

Kim, Y.K., & Lee, H.R. (2011) "Customer satisfaction using low cost carriers", *Tourism Management*, 32, 235-243.

Bitner, M.J. (1990) "Evaluating service encounters: the effects of physical surroundings and employee response", *Journal of Marketing*, 54(2), 69-92.

Steven, A.B., Dong, Y., & Dresner, M. (2012) "Linkages between customer satisfaction and performance in the airline industry: Investigation of non-linearities and moderating effects", *Transportation Research Part E*, 48, 743-754.

F. Reichheld & W.E Sasser (1990) "Zero Defections: Quality comes to services", *Harvard Business Review*, September – October, 105-111.

Bui, H. & Nguyen, L. (2004) "Total Quality Management" Hochiminh: Vietnam National University Publishing.

Cronin, J.J. and Taylor, S.A. (1992) "Measuring service quality: Reexamination and extension", *Journal of Marketing*, 56(3), 55-68.

Cronin, J.J. and Taylor, S.A. (1994) "SERVFERF versus SERVQUAL: Reconciling performance – based and perceptions – Minus- Expectations Measurement of Service Quality", *Journal of Marketing*, 58, 125-131.

Chang, T.Z., & Chen S.J. (1998) "Market orientation service quality and business profitability: a conceptual model and empirical evidence", *Journal of Service Marketing*, 12(4), 246-264.

Hallowell, R. (1996) "The relationship of customer satisfaction, customer loyalty and profitability: an empirical study", *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 7(4), 27-42.

Gammie, A. (1992) "Stop at nothing in the search for quality", *Human Resource*, 5, 35-38.

Leonard, F.S. & Sasser, W.E. (1982) "The incline of quality" *Harvard Business Review*, 60(5), 163-171.

Levesque, T. & McDougall, G.H.G. (1996) "Determinants of customer satisfaction in retail banking", *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 14(7), 12-20.

Gummesson, E. (1998) "Productivity, quality and relationship marketing in service operations", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 10(1), 4-15.

Lasser, W., Manolis, C. & Winsor, R.D. (2000) "Service quality perspectives and satisfaction in private banking, *Journal of service marketing*, 14(3), 244-271.

Newman, K. (2001) "Interrogating SERVQUAL: a critical assessment of service quality measurement in a high street retail bank", *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 19(3), 126-139.

Sureshchandar, G.S., Rajendra, C., & Anantharaman, R.N. (2002) "The relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction – a factor specific approach", *Journal of service marketing*, 16(4), 363-379.

Seth, N. & Deshmukh, S.G. (2005) "Service quality models: a review", *International Journal of Quality and Reliability*, 22(9), 913-949).

Fornell, C. (1992) "A national customer satisfaction barometer: The Swedish Experience", *Journal of Marketing*, 56, 6-21.

Halstead, D. & Page, T.J.Jr. (1992) "The effects of satisfaction and complaining behaviour on consumers repurchase behaviour", *Journal of satisfaction, Dissatisfaction and Complaining Behaviour*, 5, 1-11.

Fornell, C., Johnson, M.D., Anderson, E.W., Char, J., & Bryant, B.E. (1996) "The American customer satisfaction Index: Nature, Purpose, and Findings", *Journal of Marketing*, 60, 7-18.

Spreng, R.A., Harrel, G.D., & Mackoy, R.D. (1995) "Service recovery: Impact on satisfaction and intentions", *Journal of Services Marketing*, 9(1), 15-23.

Lee, M., & Cunningham, L.F. (1996) "Customer loyalty in the Airline Industry", *Transportation Quarterly*, 50(2), 57-72.

Ott, J. (1993) "Airline customer service rated 'average' in survey", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, 1, 31.

Ostrowski, R.L., O'Brien, T.V., & Gordon, G.L. (1993) "Service quality and customer loyalty in the commercial airline industry", *Journal of Travel Research*, 32(2), 16-24.

Hotz, J., Robert A. Miller, (1993) *Review of Economics Studies* 60 (1993), 497-539.